UDC 575:630 DOI: 10.2298/GENSR1401117P Original scientific paper

ANALYSIS OF INTER-LINE VARIABILITY OF BALD CYPRESS (*Taxodium distichum* L. Rich.) JUVENILE SEEDLINGS USING MORPHOMETRIC MARKERS

Vladan POPOVIĆ¹, Aleksandar LUČIĆ¹, Mirjana ŠIJAČIĆ-NIKOLIĆ², Tatjana ĆIRKOVIĆ-MITROVIĆ¹, Ljubinko RAKONJAC¹, Branislav CVJETKOVIĆ³, Snežana MLADENOVIĆ DRINIĆ⁴

> ¹Institute of Forestry, Belgrade ²Faculty of Forestry, Belgrade ³Faculty of Forestry, Banja Luka ⁴Maize Research Institute, Zemun Polje, Belgrade

Popović V., A. Lučić, M. Šijačić-Nikolić, T. Ćirković-Mitrović, Lj. Rakonjac, B. Cvetković and S. Mladenović Drinić (2014): Analysis of inter-line variability of bald cypress (Taxodium distichum L. Rich.) juvenile seedlings using morphometric markers-. Genetika, Vol 46, No. 1, 117-128.

In this paper are presented results of the analysis of inter-line variability of morphometric characters of Bald cypress juvenile seedlings at the level of 20 half-sib lines. Obtained results contribute to better understanding of relationship of analyzed characters, as well as the influence of analyzed characters to the differentiation of half-sib lines. Based on these results, differences were observed in the values of the analyzed characters for each half-sib line individually. The determined values of seedlings' size indicate good genetic and adaptive potential of this species, which can be considered as a starting point for the mass production of quality planting material and its use in Serbia.

Key words: Bald cypress, genetic potential, seedlings, variability.

INTRODUCTION

Bald cypress is monoecious, long-lived, deciduous conifer. It belongs to the family *Taxodiaceae* and genus *Taxodium* that includes three species (DENNY and ARNOLD, 2007). In Serbia, there is only *Taxodium distichum* (L.) Rich. Bald cypress capability for establishment of forest cultures on lowland and floodplains in our country was recorded in the 1950s. At the beginning of the 1970s, STILINOVIĆ and TUCOVIĆ concluded that in our environmental conditions Bald cypress can be considered as a species of rapid growth, one of the few conifer species that

Corresponding author: Vladan Popović; Institute of Forestry; Kneza Višeslava 3; 11000 Belgrade; Serbia; Phone: 011-3553355; e-mail: vladanpop79@gmail.com

may be suitable for introduction of conifers on lowland and floodplains where it can achieve high productivity (POPOVIĆ *et al.*, 2012).

Nevertheless, on the territory of the Republic of Serbia this species has practically never got out from the range of horticultural activities. Researches on the variability and adaptive potential of Bald cypress in our conditions which have been published until now refer to trees that grow individually and in smaller or larger groups mainly in the city green areas (DRAŽIĆ and BATOS, 2002; NINIĆ-TODOROVIĆ and OCOKOLJIĆ, 2001, 2002; ŠIJAČIĆ-NIKOLIĆ *et al.*, 2011). The possibility of wider application of Bald cypress as a forest species should be based on an assessment of its genetic and adaptive potential as well as quantity and quality of yield, primarily at the level of the existing Bald cypress seed stand.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seed material used for the research originated from the Bald cypress seed stand near Bačka Palanka, registration number S 01.10.01.01, which is managed by FE Novi Sad, FMU Bačka Palanka. On the basis of phenotypic characteristics and abundance of yield in 2010, 20 test trees were selected and per 200 cones were collected from each of them. Cones were put into separate bags and taken for processing at the laboratory of Institute of Forestry. Cone opening was done in the dryer at the temperature of 40° C, and seed cleaning was done manually. In April 2011 the seed sowing was perform in containers type Bosnaplast 12, in manner 10 containers for each test tree. In October 2011 at 1 +0 seedling age by method of random sampling 30 seedlings per each half-sib line were selected and height and root collar diameter were measured for each of them. In October 2012 at 2 +0 seedling age, also by method of random sampling 30 seedlings per each half-sib line were selected and height and root collar diameter were measured for each of them. Measurements were made by a caliper with an accuracy of 1 mm in the measurement of height, and 0.01 mm in the measurement of root collar diameter.

The obtained data were processed in a computer program Statistica.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

ONE-YEAR-OLD SEEDLINGS

In Table 1 is shown the variability of morphometric characters of one-year-old seedlings at the level of 20 Bald cypress half-sib lines.

Based on the statistical indicators (Table 1), it can be concluded that the highest mean value of root collar diameter shows the half-sib line number 1 (4.23 mm), while the smallest mean value of root collar diameter has the half-sib line number 3 (3.36 mm). The highest mean value for parameter height has the half-sib line number 6 (52.1 cm), while the smallest mean value for parameter height has the half-sib line number 2 (40.7 cm). The values of the root collar diameter and height coincide with the values that in their researches obtained other authors. Research results of VANN and MEGONIGAL (2002) show that one-year-old seedling reach height of about 50 cm and root collar diameter of about 4 mm. MAZHER *et al.* (2006) report that one-year-old seedlings of Bald cypress reach height up to 35 cm.

Half-sib lines	Parameter	Average (mm)	Min	Max	Standard deviation	Coefficient of variation
lines	diameter	4.23	2.96	5.19	0.56	13.28
1	height	48.5	38.8	56.6	5.31	10.96
	diameter	3.59	2.33	5.11	0.72	20.01
2	height	40.7	30.9	51.9	6.87	16.87
	diameter	3.36	2.57	4.56	0.53	15.84
3	height	42.8	29.7	60.0	7.34	17.15
	diameter	3.77	2.17	5.56	0.74	19.54
4	height	46.0	36.4	58.6	5.61	12.20
	diameter	3.37	1.96	5.44	0.85	25.15
5	height	40.9	25.9	56.7	8.59	20.98
	diameter		23.9	5.1	0.52	13.13
6	height	3.95 52.1	2.95 41.3	5.1 71.2	0.52 6.44	13.13
	diameter	3.75		5.25	6.44 0.99	26.53
7		3.75 49.2	2.09 27.5	5.25 70.1	12.12	26.53 24.66
	height			70.1 5.2	0.64	24.66 16.95
8	diameter	3.76	2.68			
	height	44.4	29.1	54.2	6.60	14.87
9	diameter	3.84	2.6	4.95	0.72	18.68
	height	45.0	33.6	55.4	6.16	13.70
10	diameter	4.07	2.69	5.83	0.69	16.89
	height	51.2	32.2	67.4	7.62	14.89
11	diameter	3.81	1.98	5.3	0.87	22.69
	height	44.8	18.1	63.1	10.75	24.01
12	diameter	3.82	2.51	5.78	0.91	23.93
	height	45.3	32.1	61.7	8.47	18.69
13	diameter	4.02	2.37	5.52	0.88	21.78
	height	45.6	27.2	61.3	9.17	20.10
14	diameter	3.90	2.78	6.13	0.87	22.25
	height	50.1	36.8	64.7	7.76	15.50
15	diameter	4.11	2.42	5.89	0.78	18.99
	height	49.2	37.6	64.8	6.44	13.07
16	diameter	3.93	3.11	5.52	0.55	13.90
10	height	47.3	37.0	66.8	5.78	12.21
17	diameter	4.08	3.08	4.92	0.55	13.55
1/	height	49.6	32.2	62.9	7.20	14.53
18	diameter	3.71	2.7	4.82	0.57	15.49
10	height	40.8	33.5	54.4	4.85	11.88
19	diameter	3.42	2.1	5.88	0.86	25.28
17	height	43.4	26.7	57.7	9.57	22.05
20	diameter	4.10	2.65	5.21	0.69	16.80
20	height	48.6	32.1	58.2	7.41	15.25

Table 1. Variability of morphometric characters of one-year-old seedlings at the level of 20 Bald cypress half-sib lines

Based on the statistical indicators (Table 1), it can be concluded that the highest mean value of root collar diameter shows the half-sib line number 1 (4.23 mm), while the smallest mean value of root collar diameter has the half-sib line number 3 (3.36 mm). The highest mean value for parameter height has the half-sib line number 6 (52.1 cm), while the smallest mean value for parameter height has the half-sib line number 2 (40.7 cm). The values of the root collar diameter and height coincide with the values that in their researches obtained other authors. Research results of VANN and MEGONIGAL (2002) show that one-year-old seedling reach height of about 50 cm and root collar diameter of about 4 mm. MAZHER *et al.* (2006) report that one-year-old seedlings of Bald cypress reach height up to 35 cm.

By analyzing the values of coefficient of variation as an indicator of statistical set homogeneity, we can conclude that for the parameter root collar diameter the most homogeneous is the half-sib line number 6 (13.13) and the most heterogeneous is the half-sib line number 7 (26.53). For the parameter seedling height, the most homogeneous is the half-sib line number 1 (10.96) and the most heterogeneous is the half-sib line number 7 (24.66).

Root collar diameter	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F – ratio	P – value
Between groups	35.4273	19	1.8646	3.42	0.0000
Within groups	316.004	580	0.544835		
Total	351.431	599			
Height of seedlings	Sum of squares	Degrees of freedom	Mean square	F – ratio	P – value
Between groups	6873.67	19	361.772	6.08	0.0000
Within groups	34537.2	580	59.5469		
Total	41410.9	599			

Table .: Analysis of variance for morphometric characters of one-year-old seedlings

The analysis of variance for observed characters of one-year-old Bald cypress seedlings was performed in order to determine the existence of statistically significant differences (Table 2). The results of the analysis of variance showed the statistically significant differences at level p < 0.05 between the root collar diameter and seedling height of 20 Bald cypress half-sib lines.

When we observe the mean values of root collar diameter, the half-sib lines are grouped into 3 homogeneous groups by which is confirmed the variability of root collar diameter of 20 Bald cypress half-sib lines. In the homogeneous group with the largest root collar diameter are half-sib lines 1, 15 and 20, and in the group with the smallest root collar diameter are half-sib lines 3 and 5 (Table 3).


When we observe the mean values of seedling height, the half-sib lines are grouped into 5 homogenous groups by which is confirmed the variability of seedling height of 20 Bald cypress half-sib lines. In the homogeneous group with the highest seedling height are half-sib lines number 6, 10 and 14, and in the group with the smallest seedling height are half-sib lines 2, 18 and 5 (Table 4).

Based on the root collar diameter and seedling height the cluster analysis was performed for both observed characters together, Graph 1. With this analysis was tested the mutual biological similarity or distance regarding these two characters, and it was concluded that

the greatest distance occurred between half-sib lines number 1 and 5, while the shortest distance occurred between half-sib lines number 3 and 19.

Half-sib lines	Mean	1	2	3
3	3.36	****		
5	3.37	****		
19	3.42	****	****	
2	3.59	****	****	****
18	3.71	****	****	****
7	3.75	****	****	****
8	3.76	****	****	****
4	3.77	****	****	****
11	3.81	****	****	****
12	3.82	****	****	****
9	3.84	****	****	****
14	3.90	****	****	****
16	3.93	****	****	****
6	3.95	****	****	****
13	4.02	****	****	****
10	4.07		****	****
17	4.08		****	****
20	4.10			****
15	4.11			****
1	4.23			****

Table 3. Tukey HSD test for root collar diameter



Graph 1: Dendrogram of cluster analysis for measured characters of one-year-old seedlings

Half-sib lines	Mean	1	2	3	4	5
2	40.73	****				
18	40.85	****				
5	40.93	****				
3	42.82	****	****			
19	43.43	****	****	****		
8	44.37	****	****	****	****	
11	44.76	****	****	****	****	
9	44.98	****	****	****	****	
12	45.32	****	****	****	****	****
13	45.62	****	****	****	****	****
4	45.97	****	****	****	****	****
16	47.34	****	****	****	****	****
1	48.45		****	****	****	****
20	48.57		****	****	****	****
7	49.15		****	****	****	****
15	49.25		****	****	****	****
17	49.55		****	****	****	****
14	50.07			****	****	****
10	51.19				****	****
6	52.07					****

Table 4. Tukey HSD test for height of seedling

TWO-YEAR-OLD SEEDLINGS

In Tabele 5 is shown the variability of morphometric characters of two-year-old seedlings at the level of 20 Bald cypress half-sib lines.

Based on the obtained data (Table 5), it can be concluded that the seedling root collar diameter is in the range of 3.31 to 8.25 mm. The highest mean value of root collar diameter has the half-sib line number 1 (6.29 mm), while the smallest mean value of root collar diameter has the half-sib line number 15 (4.64 mm). Seedling height ranges from 29.7 to 82.5 cm. The highest mean value for seedling height was measured in the half-sib line number 1 (64 cm) while the smallest mean value for seedling height has the half-sib line number 19 (44.5 cm). Comparing the obtained data it can be concluded that seedlings of half-sib line number 1 show high mean values for both the root collar diameter and height, while half-sib line number19 stands out with the smallest mean values.

By analyzing the calculated coefficient of variation (Table 5), as the relative indicator of statistical set homogeneity, we can conclude that for the character root collar diameter the most homogeneous is the half-sib line number 7 (10.42%) and the most heterogeneous is the half-sib line number 4 (19.15%). For the character seedling height, the most homogeneous is the half-sib line number 2 (10.15%), and the most heterogeneous is the half-sib line number 9 (20.74%).

Regarding to values of coefficient of variation for all characters it can be concluded that they are below 30% which indicates that the sample used for the research is homogeneous.

SibCoefficient variation1diameter 6.29 4.69 8.09 0.92 1.467 2height 64.0 40.6 80.5 11.48 17.93 2height 5.78 4.26 7.26 0.69 11.92 3height 58.9 45.2 71.3 5.98 10.14 3diameter 5.90 4.55 7.22 0.68 11.53 4diameter 5.43 3.61 7.11 1.04 19.15 5height 50.0 33.1 70.6 9.18 18.36 5diameter 5.94 4.21 7.34 0.92 15.41 6diameter 5.37 4.21 7.21 0.76 14.19 6height 58.2 44.9 72.4 6.94 11.93 7diameter 5.33 4.56 65.1 0.56 10.42 9diameter 5.87 4.08 8.16 1.00 17.03 8diameter 4.72 69.1 7.05 11.97 8diameter 4.77 3.64 6.44 0.72 15.06 10diameter 4.73 3.31 6.81 0.80 16.67 $height$ 45.2 31.5 73.3 9.37 20.74 10height 55.3 37.9 68.3 6.72 12.17 12height 55.3 37.9 68.3 5.96 <th></th> <th>half-sib lines</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		half-sib lines					
sib (mm) deviation variation 1 diameter 6.29 4.69 8.09 0.92 14.67 2 diameter 5.78 4.26 7.26 0.69 11.92 3 diameter 5.90 4.52 71.3 5.98 10.14 3 diameter 5.90 4.55 7.22 0.68 11.53 4 diameter 5.90 4.55 7.22 0.68 11.53 4 diameter 5.43 3.61 7.11 1.04 19.15 5 diameter 5.94 4.21 7.34 0.92 15.41 6 diameter 5.37 4.21 7.21 0.76 14.19 6 diameter 5.33 4.56 6.51 0.56 10.42 7 height 58.9 47.2 69.1 7.05 11.97 8 diameter 5.87 4.08 8.16 1.00 17.03 <	Half-	Parameter	Average	Min	Max	Standard	Coefficient of
Ines ines 1 diameter 6.29 4.69 8.09 0.92 14.67 1 height 64.0 40.6 80.5 11.48 17.93 2 height 58.9 45.2 71.3 5.98 10.14 3 diameter 5.90 4.55 7.22 0.68 11.53 4 diameter 5.43 3.61 7.11 1.04 19.15 5 diameter 5.94 4.21 7.34 0.92 15.41 6 diameter 5.37 4.21 7.34 0.92 15.41 6 diameter 5.37 4.21 7.24 6.94 11.93 7 diameter 5.87 4.08 8.16 1.00 17.03 8 diameter 5.87 4.08 8.16 1.00 17.03 9 diameter 4.78 3.31 6.81 0.80 16.67 9 diameter			(mm)			deviation	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	lines						
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
height 58.9 45.2 71.3 5.98 10.14 3diameter 5.90 4.55 7.22 0.68 11.53 4height 61.3 48.1 73.2 7.34 11.97 4diameter 5.43 3.61 7.11 1.04 19.15 5height 50.0 33.1 70.6 9.18 18.36 6diameter 5.94 4.21 7.34 0.92 15.41 6diameter 5.37 4.21 7.24 6.94 11.93 7diameter 5.33 4.56 6.51 0.56 10.42 7height 58.9 47.2 69.1 7.05 11.97 8diameter 5.87 4.08 8.16 1.00 17.03 9diameter 4.78 3.31 6.81 0.80 16.67 9height 45.2 31.5 73.3 9.37 20.74 10height 45.2 31.5 73.3 9.37 20.74 11diameter 5.08 3.81 6.22 0.67 13.17 12diameter 5.08 3.78 6.36 0.72 12.97 13height 55.3 37.9 68.3 6.72 12.15 14diameter 5.09 3.92 6.27 0.66 12.91 15height 55.3 37.9 68.3 6.72 12.15 16diameter 5.04	2						
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2						
height 61.3 48.1 7.32 7.34 11.97 4height 5.00 33.1 70.6 9.18 18.36 5diameter 5.94 4.21 7.34 0.92 15.41 6height 59.5 36.5 81.1 10.80 18.16 6diameter 5.37 4.21 7.21 0.76 14.19 7diameter 5.37 4.21 7.21 0.76 14.19 7height 58.2 44.9 72.4 6.94 11.93 7diameter 5.33 4.56 6.51 0.56 10.42 8height 52.2 35.6 73.4 9.39 17.98 9diameter 4.78 3.31 6.81 0.80 16.67 10height 45.2 31.5 73.3 9.37 20.74 10height 48.7 37.0 69.6 7.85 16.13 11diameter 5.08 3.78 6.36 0.72 12.21 12diameter 5.14 3.88 6.22 0.67 12.97 13height 55.3 37.9 6.36 0.72 12.97 14diameter 5.09 3.92 6.27 0.66 12.91 15height 57.0 42.7 71.3 7.43 13.12 16diameter 5.04 3.71 6.47 0.73 14.42 15height 57.0	3						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5						
height 50.0 33.1 70.6 9.18 18.36 5diameter 5.94 4.21 7.34 0.92 15.41 6diameter 5.37 4.21 7.21 0.76 14.19 6height 58.2 44.9 72.4 6.94 11.93 7height 58.2 44.9 72.4 6.94 11.93 7diameter 5.33 4.56 6.51 0.56 10.42 8height 58.9 47.2 69.1 7.05 11.97 8diameter 5.87 40.8 8.16 1.00 17.03 9diameter 4.78 3.31 6.81 0.80 16.67 9height 45.2 31.5 73.3 9.37 20.74 10height 48.7 37.0 69.6 7.85 16.13 11diameter 5.08 3.81 6.22 0.67 13.17 12height 58.2 45.5 69.3 7.11 12.21 12diameter 5.08 3.78 6.36 0.72 14.20 13diameter 5.14 3.88 6.29 0.67 12.97 14height 55.3 37.9 68.3 5.96 10.58 14height 55.4 39.8 6.27 0.66 12.91 15height 57.0 42.7 71.3 7.43 13.12 16diameter 5.04 <	4						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-						
height59.536.581.110.8018.166diameter5.374.217.210.7614.197diameter5.334.566.510.5610.427height58.947.269.17.0511.978diameter5.874.088.161.0017.039diameter4.783.316.810.8016.6710diameter4.773.646.440.7215.0610height48.737.069.67.8516.1311diameter5.083.816.220.6713.1712height55.337.968.36.7212.2113diameter5.083.816.220.6713.1714height55.337.968.36.7212.9713height55.337.968.35.9610.5814height56.342.268.35.9610.5814height55.839.868.25.8410.4715height50.93.9716.470.7314.4216height57.042.771.37.4313.1217height57.042.771.37.4313.1216height57.042.771.37.4313.1217height57.042.771.37.4313.1216 <t< td=""><td>5</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	5						
	5						
height 58.2 44.9 72.4 6.94 11.93 7diameter 5.33 4.56 6.51 0.56 10.42 8diameter 5.87 4.08 8.16 1.00 17.03 8height 52.2 35.6 73.4 9.39 17.98 9diameter 4.78 3.31 6.81 0.80 16.67 9height 45.2 31.5 73.3 9.37 20.74 10diameter 4.77 3.64 6.44 0.72 15.06 11diameter 5.08 3.81 6.22 0.67 13.17 12diameter 5.08 3.78 6.36 0.72 14.20 12height 55.3 37.9 68.3 6.72 12.97 13diameter 5.09 3.92 6.27 0.66 12.91 14height 55.8 39.8 68.2 5.84 10.47 15diameter 5.04 3.71 64.7 0.73 14.42 16diameter 5.04 3.71 64.7 0.73 14.42 15height 57.0 42.7 71.3 74.3 13.12 16diameter 5.48 4.00 7.38 0.93 16.94 16diameter 5.48 4.00 7.38 0.93 16.94 16diameter 5.48 4.00 7.38 0.93 16.94 17diameter <td>6</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	6						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0						
height 58.9 $4/.2$ 69.1 7.05 11.97 8diameter 5.87 4.08 8.16 1.00 17.03 9diameter 4.78 3.31 6.81 0.80 16.67 9height 45.2 31.5 73.3 9.37 20.74 10diameter 4.77 3.64 6.44 0.72 15.06 height 48.7 37.0 69.6 7.85 16.13 11diameter 5.08 3.81 6.22 0.67 13.17 height 58.2 45.5 69.3 7.11 12.21 12diameter 5.08 3.78 6.36 0.72 14.20 height 55.3 37.9 68.3 6.72 12.97 13height 56.3 42.2 68.3 5.96 10.58 14diameter 5.09 3.92 6.27 0.66 12.91 15diameter 4.64 3.60 646 0.67 14.45 16diameter 5.04 3.71 6.47 0.73 14.42 16diameter 5.04 3.71 6.47 0.73 14.42 16height 57.0 42.7 71.3 7.43 13.12 17height 57.0 36.3 82.5 10.96 19.23 18diameter 5.39 3.81 6.33 0.69 12.75	7						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$,						
height 32.2 33.6 73.4 9.39 17.38 9diameter 4.78 3.31 6.81 0.80 16.67 10 diameter 4.77 3.64 6.44 0.72 15.06 height 48.7 37.0 69.6 7.85 16.13 11diameter 5.08 3.81 6.22 0.67 13.17 height 58.2 45.5 69.3 7.11 12.21 12diameter 5.08 3.78 6.36 0.72 14.20 height 55.3 37.9 68.3 6.72 12.15 13diameter 5.14 3.88 6.29 0.67 12.97 14height 56.3 42.2 68.3 5.96 10.58 14diameter 5.09 3.92 6.27 0.66 12.91 height 55.8 39.8 68.2 5.84 10.47 15diameter 4.64 3.60 646 0.67 14.45 height 49.7 34.7 69.5 8.93 17.96 16diameter 5.04 3.71 6.47 0.73 14.42 $height$ 57.0 36.3 82.5 10.96 19.23 18diameter 5.39 3.81 6.33 0.69 12.75	8	diameter					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0						
height 45.2 31.5 73.3 9.37 20.74 10diameter 4.77 3.64 6.44 0.72 15.06 height 48.7 37.0 69.6 7.85 16.13 11diameter 5.08 3.81 6.22 0.67 13.17 height 58.2 45.5 69.3 7.11 12.21 12diameter 5.08 3.78 6.36 0.72 14.20 height 55.3 37.9 68.3 6.72 12.15 13diameter 5.14 3.88 6.29 0.67 12.97 height 56.3 42.2 68.3 5.96 10.58 14diameter 5.09 3.92 6.27 0.66 12.91 15diameter 4.64 3.60 646 0.67 14.45 height 49.7 34.7 69.5 8.93 17.96 16diameter 5.04 3.71 6.47 0.73 14.42 $height$ 57.0 42.7 71.3 7.43 13.12 17diameter 5.48 4.00 7.38 0.93 16.94 18diameter 5.39 3.81 6.33 0.69 12.75	0	diameter	4.78			0.80	16.67
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$)	height					
height48.737.069.67.8516.1311diameter 5.08 3.81 6.22 0.67 13.17 height 58.2 45.5 69.3 7.11 12.21 12diameter 5.08 3.78 6.36 0.72 14.20 height 55.3 37.9 68.3 6.72 12.97 13diameter 5.14 3.88 6.29 0.67 12.97 14diameter 5.09 3.92 6.27 0.66 12.91 14height 55.8 39.8 68.2 5.84 10.47 15diameter 4.64 3.60 646 0.67 14.45 16diameter 5.04 3.71 6.47 0.73 14.42 16height 57.0 42.7 71.3 7.43 13.12 17diameter 5.48 4.00 7.38 0.93 16.94 18diameter 5.39 3.81 6.33 0.69 12.75	10						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10						
height 58.2 45.5 69.3 7.11 12.21 12diameter 5.08 3.78 6.36 0.72 14.20 height 55.3 37.9 68.3 6.72 12.15 13diameter 5.14 3.88 6.29 0.67 12.97 14diameter 5.09 3.92 6.27 0.66 12.91 14height 55.8 39.8 68.2 5.84 10.47 15diameter 4.64 3.60 646 0.67 14.45 16height 49.7 34.7 69.5 8.93 17.96 16height 57.0 42.7 71.3 7.43 13.12 17height 57.0 36.3 82.5 10.96 19.23 18diameter 5.39 3.81 6.33 0.69 12.75	11	diameter					
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11						
height55.3 37.9 68.3 6.72 12.15 13diameter 5.14 3.88 6.29 0.67 12.97 14height 56.3 42.2 68.3 5.96 10.58 14diameter 5.09 3.92 6.27 0.66 12.91 15diameter 4.64 3.60 646 0.67 14.45 16height 49.7 34.7 69.5 8.93 17.96 16diameter 5.04 3.71 6.47 0.73 14.42 17height 57.0 42.7 71.3 7.43 13.12 17height 57.0 36.3 82.5 10.96 19.23 18diameter 5.39 3.81 6.33 0.69 12.75	12						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12	height					
height 56.3 42.2 68.3 5.96 10.58 14diameter 5.09 3.92 6.27 0.66 12.91 height 55.8 39.8 68.2 5.84 10.47 15diameter 4.64 3.60 646 0.67 14.45 16diameter 5.04 3.71 6.47 0.73 14.42 17diameter 5.48 4.00 7.38 0.93 16.94 18diameter 5.39 3.81 6.33 0.69 12.75	13						
14 height 55.8 39.8 68.2 5.84 10.47 15 diameter 4.64 3.60 646 0.67 14.45 15 height 49.7 34.7 69.5 8.93 17.96 16 diameter 5.04 3.71 6.47 0.73 14.42 height 57.0 42.7 71.3 7.43 13.12 17 diameter 5.48 4.00 7.38 0.93 16.94 16 height 57.0 36.3 82.5 10.96 19.23 18 diameter 5.39 3.81 6.33 0.69 12.75	15						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14						
15 height 49.7 34.7 69.5 8.93 17.96 16 diameter 5.04 3.71 6.47 0.73 14.42 16 height 57.0 42.7 71.3 7.43 13.12 17 diameter 5.48 4.00 7.38 0.93 16.94 18 diameter 5.39 3.81 6.33 0.69 12.75	14						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15						
16 height 57.0 42.7 71.3 7.43 13.12 17 diameter 5.48 4.00 7.38 0.93 16.94 17 height 57.0 36.3 82.5 10.96 19.23 18 diameter 5.39 3.81 6.33 0.69 12.75	15						
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16						
1/ height 57.0 36.3 82.5 10.96 19.23 18 diameter 5.39 3.81 6.33 0.69 12.75	10	height					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17						
	17	height					
height 56.8 42.8 67.1 6.50 11.45	18	diameter					
	10	height	56.8	42.8	67.1	6.50	11.45
19 diameter 5.17 3.33 8.25 0.95 18.43	10	diameter	5.17		8.25		18.43
height 44.5 29.7 54.7 5.92 13.30	19	height					
20 diameter 5.21 3.58 7.11 0.78 14.94	20	diameter			7.11		14.94
20 height 58.3 39.3 69.8 7.90 13.55	20	height	58.3	39.3	69.8	7.90	13.55

Table 5. Variability of morphometric characters of two-year-old seedlings at the level of 20 Bald cypress half-sib lines

By analyzing the calculated coefficient of variation (Table 5), as the relative indicator of statistical set homogeneity, we can conclude that for the character root collar diameter the most homogeneous is the half-sib line number 7 (10.42%) and the most heterogeneous is the half-sib line number 4 (19.15%). For the character seedling height, the most homogeneous is the half-sib line number 2 (10.15%), and the most heterogeneous is the half-sib line number 9

(20.74%). Regarding to values of coefficient of variation for all characters it can be concluded that they are below 30% which indicates that the sample used for the research is homogeneous.

Table 6. Analysis of variance for morphometric characters of two-year-old seedlings

· · · · · ·					
Root collar diameter	Sum of	Degrees of	Mean square	F – ratio	P – value
Root conta diameter	squares	freedom	incuit square	i iuno	i vulue
Between groups	107.40	19	5.6526	9.10	0.0000
Within groups	360.14	580	0.62093		
Total	467.54	599			
Height of seedlings	Sum of	Degrees of	Mean square	F – ratio	P – value
neight of seedings			wean sonare	$\Gamma - Iallo$	P - value
0 0	squares	freedom	intenn square		
Between groups	squares 15658.21	freedom 19	824.1161	12.50	0.0000
Between groups Within groups	1		1	12.50	0.0000
0 1	15658.21	19	824.1161	12.50	0.0000

Table 7. Tukey HSD test for root collar diameter


Half-sib lines	Mean	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
15	4.64	****						
10	4.77	****	****					
9	4.78	****	****					
16	5.04	****	****					
12	5.08	****	****	****				
11	5.08	****	****	****				
14	5.09	****	****	****				
13	5.14	****	****	****				
19	5.17	****	****	****	****			
20	5.21	****	****	****	****	****		
7	5.33	****	****	****	****	****	****	
6	5.37		****	****	****	****	****	
18	5.39		****	****	****	****	****	
4	5.43		****	****	****	****	****	
17	5.48		****	****	****	****	****	
2	5.78			****	****	****	****	****
8	5.87				****	****	****	****
3	5.90					****	****	****
5	5.94						****	****
1	6.29							****

Half-sib lines	Mean	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
19	44.53	****						
9	45.17	****	****					
10	48.67	****	****	****				
15	49.70	****	****	****	****			
4	50.02	****	****	****	****			
8	52.16		****	****	****	****		
12	55.34			****	****	****	****	
14	55.79			****	****	****	****	
13	56.31				****	****	****	
18	56.76				****	****	****	***:
16	56.97				****	****	****	***:
17	57.00				****	****	****	***:
6	58.16					****	****	***:
11	58.22					****	****	***:
20	58.30					****	****	***:
2	58.90					****	****	***:
7	58.90					****	****	***:
5	59.52					****	****	****
3	61.31						****	****
1	63.99							****

The analysis of variance for observed characters of two-year-old Bald cypress seedlings was performed in order to determine the existence of statistically significant differences (Table 6). The results of the analysis of variance showed the statistically significant differences at level p < 0.05 between the root collar diameter and seedling height of 20 Bald cypress half-sib lines.

Based on Tukey HSD test of homogeneity it can be concluded that when we observe the root collar diameter of seedlings, the half-sib lines are grouped into 7 homogeneous groups (Table 7). Also when observe seedling height, the half-sib lines are grouped into 7 homogeneous groups (Table 8). For the parameters of root collar diameter and seedling height the half-sib line number 15 is in a homogeneous group with the smallest values, while the half-sib lines 1, 3 and 5 are in a homogeneous group with the highest values.

The cluster analysis was performed in order to determine similarity or distance of halfsib lines, based on the root collar diameter, seedling height and ratio seedling height/root collar diameter (Graph 2). The dendrogram of cluster analysis shows that the half-sib lines number 6, 7, 20, 11 and 16 are grouped at the greater distance than other half-sib lines. At the shortest distance are mutually connected half-sib lines number 12 and 14. At the greatest distance are connected half-sib lines number 1 and 19.



Graph 2. Dendrogram of cluster analysis for measured characters of two-year-old seedlings

By comparative analysis of the obtained results in the study of variability of morphometric characters of cones, seeds and seedlings of Bald cypress can be concluded that there is considerable intra-population variability which is directly dependent on the type of the analyzed character. This suggests that the obtained results need to be supplemented and verified using genetic methods which are based on the use of other types of markers (POPOVIĆ *et al.*, 2013).

Researches of inter-population and interline variability performed on Scots pine (LUČIĆ *et al.*, 2012), Spruce (ŠIJAČIĆ-NIKOLIĆ *et al.*, 2010) and Austrian pine (MATARUGA *et al.*, 2003) show that the intensity of variability is directly dependent on the type of the analyzed trait. Morphometric markers can be used to determine the degree of variability but for the more accurate conclusions it is necessary to expand the research by using molecular markers.

CONCLUSIONS

The results obtained from the analysis of variability of morphometric characteristics of one-year-old and two-year-old seedlings of 20 Bald cypress half-sib lines originated from seed stand near Bačka Palanka, contribute to better understanding of relationship of analyzed characters, as well as the influence of analyzed characters on differentiation of half-sib lines. Based on these results differences in the values of the observed characters were noticed for each half-sib line individually. When observe characters of one-year-old seedlings the highest value of root collar diameter has half-sib line number 1, while the smallest value have seedlings of half-sib line number 3. The greatest values for character seedling height have seedlings of half-sib line number 6, while the smallest values have seedlings of half-sib line number 2. When observe characters of root collar diameter and height have seedlings the highest value of root collar diameter and height have seedlings of half-sib line number 1, while the smallest value of root collar diameter have seedlings the highest values of root collar diameter and height have seedlings of half-sib line number 1, while the smallest value of root collar diameter have seedlings of half-sib line number 1, while the smallest value of root collar diameter have seedlings of half-sib line number 1, while the smallest value of root collar diameter have seedlings of half-sib line number 1, while the smallest value of root collar diameter have seedlings of half-sib line number 15, and the smallest value of height have seedlings of half-sib line number 19.

By the comparative analysis of the obtained results in the study of variability of morphometric characters of one- and two-year-old seedlings of Bald cypress of different half-sib lines, it can be concluded that there is a considerable inter-line variability which is directly dependent on the type of the analyzed character. This suggests that the obtained results need to be supplemented and verified using genetic methods which are based on the use of other types of markers (biochemical and molecular markers).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The research is financed by the Ministry of Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia, Project TR 31070 "The development of technological procedures in forestry with a view to an optimum forest cover realization" (2011-2014).

Received May 10th, 2013 Accepted November 05th, 2013

REFERENCES

- DENNY, G. C., M. A. ARNOLD (2007): Taxonomy and nomenclature of baldcypress, pondcypress and montezuma cypress: one, two or three species? Hort. Technology 17, 125-127.
- DRAŽIĆ, D., B. BATOS (2002): Bald cypress (*Taxodium distichum* L. Rich). in conditions of Belgrade. Proceeding of the 7th Symposium on Flora of Southeastern Serbia and Neighboring Regions, Dimitrovgrad (195-200).
- LUČIĆ, A., V. ISAJEV, LJ. RAKONJAC, M. MATARUGA, V. POPOVIĆ, R. NEVENIĆ, S. MLADENOVIĆ DRINIĆ (2012): Analysis of inter – population variability of scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) using morphometric markers, Genetika, Vol. 44, No.3, 689- 699.
- MATARUGA, M., V. ISAJEV, A. TUCOVIĆ (2003). Variability of morphometric characteristics of seedlings of 40 Austrian pine (*Pinus nigra* Arn.) half-sib lines, Book of Abstracts, "Second symposium on the breeding of organisms", Vrnjačka Banja, Serbia.
- MAZHER, A. A. M., S. M. ZAGHLOUL, A. A. YASSEN (2006): Impact of Boron Fertilizer on Growth and Chemical Constituents of *Taxodium distichum* Grown under Water Regime. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences 2 (4): 412-420.
- NINIĆ-TODOROVIĆ, J., M. OCOKOLJIĆ (2001): Eco-physiological characteristics of Bald cypress (*Taxodium distichum* L. Rich.) in Novi Sad parks. "Environmental protection of urban and suburban settlements", Eco-Conference, Novi Sad. pp: 309-313.
- NINIĆ-TODOROVIĆ J., M. OCOKOLJIĆ (2002): Variability of Bald cypress (*Taxodium distichum L. Rich.*) populations in Novi Sad parks. Proceeding of the 7th Symposium on Flora of Southeastern Serbia and Neighboring Regions, Dimitrovgrad, pp: 121-128.
- POPOVIĆ, V., V. LAVADINOVIĆ, T. ĆIRKOVIĆ-MITROVIĆ, A. LUČIĆ, D. JOKANOVIĆ (2012): Main characeristics of bald cypress seed stand (*Taxodium distichum* L. Rich.) near Bačka Palanka, The Book of the proceedings, International scientific conference "Forests in Future – Sustainable Use, Risks and Challenges", Institute of Forestry, October, 4-5th, 2012, p. 413-418, Belgrade.
- POPOVIĆ, V., A. LUČIĆ, M. ŠIJAČIĆ-NIKOLIĆ, T. ĆIRKOVIĆ-MITROVIĆ, LJ. RAKONJAC, LJ. BRAŠANAC-BOSANAC (2013): Analysis of intrapopulation variability of bald cypress (*Taxodium distichum L. Rich.*) in a seed stand near Bačka Palanka using morphometric markers. Archives of Biological Sciences, 65(3), 1093-1103.
- VANN, C. D., J. P. MEGONIGAL (2002): Productivity responses of Acer rubrum and Taxodium distichum seedlings to elevated CO2 and flooding. Environmental Pollution 116 S31–S36.
- ŠIJAČIĆ-NIKOLIĆ, M., J. MILOVANOVIĆ, R. KNEŽEVIĆ (2010): Variability of cone morphological trails and seed quality parametars of Norwey spruce sample genotypes from Kopaonik mauntain First Serbia Forestry Congress -

Future with forests, 11-13 November, 2010, Faculty of Forestry Belgrade, Serbia, Congress Abstracts, ISBN 978-86-7299-066-9, 157.

ŠIJAČIĆ-NIKOLIĆ, M., D. VILOTIĆ, M. VESELINOVIĆ, S. MITROVIĆ, D. JOKANOVIĆ (2011): Bald cypress (*Taxodium distichum* L. Rich.) in the protected area Veliko ratno ostrvo. Bulletin of the Faculty of Forestry, Belgrade No.103, pp. 173-184.

ANALIZA MEĐULINIJSKE VARIJABILNOSTI JUVENILNIH SADNICA TAKSODIJUMA (*Taxodium distichum* L. Rich.) UPOTREBOM MORFOMETRIJSKIH MARKERA

Vladan POPOVIĆ¹⁾, Aleksandar LUČIĆ¹, Mirjana ŠIJAČIĆ-NIKOLIĆ²⁾, Tatjana ĆIRKOVIĆ-MITROVIĆ¹⁾, Ljubinko RAKONJAC¹⁾, Branislav CVJETKOVIĆ³⁾, Snežana MLADENOVIĆ-DRINIĆ⁴⁾

> ¹Institut za šumarstvo, Beograd ²Šumarski fakultet, Beograd ³Šumarski fakultet, Banja Luka ⁴Institut za kukuruz, Zemun Polje, Beograd

> > Izvod

U radu su prikazani rezultati ispitivanja međulinijske varijabilnosti morfometrijskih svojstava juvenilnih sadnica taksodijuma na nivou 20 linija polusrodnika. Dobijeni rezultati daju doprinos boljem upoznavanju veza analiziranih svojstava, kao i uticaju analiziranih svojstava na diferencijaciju linija polusrodnika. Na osnovu dobijenih rezultata uočene su razlike u vrednostima posmatranih svojstava za svaku liniju polusrodnika ponaosob. Utvrđene vrednosti dimenzija sadnica ukazuju na dobar genetski i adaptivni potencijal ove vrste, što se može smatrati polaznom osnovom za masovnu proizvodnju kvalitetnog sadnog materijala i njegovu upotrebu u Srbiji.

Primljeno 10. V 2013. Odobreno 05. XI. 2013.